CASE REPORT

Open Access



A new case of 17p13.3p13.1 microduplication resulted from unbalanced translocation: clinical and molecular cytogenetic characterization

Zhanna G. Markova^{*}, Marina E. Minzhenkova, Lyudmila A. Bessonova and Nadezda V. Shilova

Abstract

Copy number gain 17 p13.3p13.1 was detected by chromosomal microarray (CMA) in a girl with developmental/ speech delay and facial dysmorphism. FISH studies made it possible to establish that the identified genomic imbalance is the unbalanced t(9;17) translocation of maternal origin. Clinical features of the patient are also discussed. The advisability of using the combination of CMA and FISH analysis is shown. Copy number gains detected by clinical CMA should be confirmed using FISH analysis in order to determine the physical location of the duplicated seqment. Parental follow-up studies is an important step to determine the origin of genomic imbalance. This approach not only allows a most comprehensive characterization of an identified chromosomal/genomic imbalance but also provision of an adequate medical and genetic counseling for a family taking into account a balanced chromosomal rearrangement.

Keywords: 17p13.3p13.1 microduplication, Chromosomal microarray analysis, FISH

Background

Introduction of molecular cytogenetic methods into clinical practice, such as CMA, has become a new stage in the in the genetic diagnosis of human chromosomal abnormalities. This technique allows to identify a copy number variations (CNV), establishing their size, boundaries and genes involved. The data obtained have made it possible to describe genomic disturbances leading to specific clinical phenotypes and identify a novel microdeletion and microduplication syndromes.

Human chromosome 17 is a small chromosome rich in genes linked with a number of well-known microdeletion and microduplication syndromes. Over 23% of the chromosome 17 short arm consist of low-copy repeats,

*Correspondence: zhmark71@mail.ru Research Centre for Medical Genetics, Moskvorechye St., 1, Moscow, Russia 115522

which creates the possibility of a non-allelic homologous recombination [1]. The genomic instability of chromosome 17 promotes development of a wide range of clinical manifestations including cerebral morphological brain abnormalities, mental retardation, epilepsy and tumors [2-4].

The first cases of 17p13.3 microduplication were reported in 2009 [3]. Accumulation of similar cases led later to formation of 17p13.3 microduplication syndrome (OMIM #613215). This is a rare syndrome wherein the critical region overlaps the deletion region in Miller-Dieker Lissencephaly syndrome (OMIM #247200) involving PAFAH1B1 and/or YWHAE genes on chromosome 17p13.3. The identified cases of 17p13.3 microduplication with various sizes and gene contents have different mechanisms of occurrence and different clinical phenotypes. The common clinical features associated with the disease are a mild or moderate psychomotor retardation,



© The Author(s) 2021. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

hypotonia, abnormalities of hands and feet, cranio-facial dysmorphism including a high forehead with frontal bossing, hypertelorism, a small nose and a small mouth [3, 5, 6].

In this study we report the clinical and molecular cytogenetic characterization of a new case with duplication of chromosome 17 region p13.3p13.1.

Methods

The CytoScan HD array (Affymetrix, USA) was applied to detect the CNV across the entire genome following the manufacturer's protocols. Microarray-based copy number analysis was performed using the Chromosome Analysis Suite software version 4.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) and the results were presented on the International System for Human Cytogenomic Nomenclature 2016 (ISCN, 2016). Detected CNVs were totally assessed by comparing them with published literature and the public databases: Database of Genomic Variants (DGV) (http:// dgv.tcag.ca/dgv/app/home), DECIPHER (http://decipher. sanger.ac.uk/) and OMIM (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ omim). Genomic positions refer to the Human Genome February 2009 assembly (GRCh37/hg19).

FISH was carried out using chromosomal preparations from cultured peripheral blood lymphocytes following the manufacturers' protocols. DNA probes for subtelomeric regions of the short arm of chromosomes 17, the short arm of chromosome 9, centromere region of chromosome 17, pericentromeric heterochromatin of chromosome 9 (Sub-telomere 17pter, Sub-telomere 9pter, SE 17 (D17Z1), SE 9 (classical); KREATECH), whole chromosome probes for the short and long arms of chromosome 17 (XCAP 17 short, XCAP17 long, KREATECH) were applied.

The analysis was carried out using an AxioImager M.1 epifluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss) and an Isis digital image processing computer program (MetaSystems).

Case presentation

The patient, a girl 2 years 3 months old, was referred for CGH due to delayed psychomotor and speech development. Pedigree burdened, mother's brother has mental retardation.

The girl is the first child of healthy non-consanguineous parents. Pregnancy proceeded with the threat of termination, premature aging of the placenta and oligohydramnios from 25 weeks, isthmic-cervical insufficiency (cervical pessary from 30 weeks). Childbirth at 38-39 weeks, weight 3350 g (+0.14 SD), height 52 cm (+1.45 SD), head circumference 35 cm, chest circumference 34 cm, Apgar score was 7/8, multiple stigmas of dysembryogenesis at birth—broad nasal bridge, telangiectasia of the frontal region, widely spaced nipples, a short lingual frenum.

The child had in the neonatal period a syndrome of central nervous system depression, feeding difficulties, cardiopathy (clinically unimportant patent foramen ovale 3 mm), cephalohematomas of the parietal bones, intrauterine infection (conjunctivitis). She had no clinical or electrographic seizures. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain was not performed.

Her psychomotor development was retarded: holds his head from 2 months, turns over from 10 months, sat independently at 10 months of age, walked without support at 19 months, and articulates about 10 words at the time of examination.

Physical examination: height 88 cm (+0.73 SD), weight 12 kg (-0.70 SD), head circumference 47 cm (-1.12 SD), decrease in the increase in head circumference to height, frontal bossing, hypertelorism, low-set fissures, small mouth, triangular chin, flexion contractures of the elbow and knee joints, moderate contractures of the fingers, planovalgus feet (Fig. 1).

Results

CMA revealed a 10.5 Mb pathogenic duplication in terminal region of chromosome 17 affected 285 genes, 182 of which are known OMIM-morbid genes (Fig. 2a). The molecular karyotype of the proband (according to ISCN 2016) was thus: arr[hg19] 17p13 .3p13.1(525_10512077)×3.

FISH with DNA probe for subtelomeric region17p was performed for the targeted validation of detected CNV. FISH-analysis established that this segment had translocated from the short arm of chromosome 17 (Fig. 3a).

To find out the origin of the identified rearrangement, FISH analysis of the proband's parents was undertaken.



Fig. 1 Clinical presentation of the patient

B Chromesone 9
A method for the formation of the property lands If the property lands I
A Definition of the second
A Subtract Ger Make 20 A Subtract A Subtr
Char Max & Conversor & Roman Character Data & B Chromosome 9 A Society of a conversor & Conversor Data & Provide a conversor & Provide a co
\$200 from the Construction from the Second Provide
1 1 1
2
0 90000 900



analysis with a partial chromosome painting for short (green) and long (red) arms of chromosome 17. **c** FISH analysis with WCP of chromosome 17, chromosome 9 subtelomeric (9pter, green) and chromosome 9 pericentromeric heterochromatin (blue) probes. **d** FISH analysis with chromosome 9 subtelomeric (9pter, green) and chromosome 17 centromeric (red) probes

The FISH analysis of the proband's mother using armspecific DNA probes for chromosome 17 revealed presence of a fluorescent signal corresponding to the material of the short arm of chromosome 17 on one of homologues of chromosome 9 (Fig. 3b).

The father had a normal FISH results.

In cases of unbalanced translocation, CMA usually shows simultaneously copy number gain and copy number loss of terminal regions of chromosomes involved in the rearrangement. However, no deletion in chromosome 9 could be identified in proband (Fig. 2b).

For additional characterization of derivative chromosome 9, the FISH analysis of the proband's metaphase spreads was carried out using a combination of whole chromosome 17 painting probe, 9p subtelomeric probe and 9cen probe as a control. Simultaneous hybridization has shown that material of chromosome 17 has been translocated distal to the 9p subtelomere region in derivative chromosome 9 (Fig. 3c).

The FISH analysis of the proband's mother using a 9p subtelomeric DNA probe discovered three fluorescent signals, two of which were observed on chromosomes 9, one of them was stronger than other, and one low-intensity hybridization signal was present on the short arm of chromosome 17 (Fig. 3d). This evidences that the terminal region of chromosome 9 was also involved in the translocation. Thus, the proband's mother was a carrier of balanced translocation t(9;17) and the imbalance found in the proband is a result of adjacent -I segregation of maternal reciprocal translocation.

Discussion

Balanced chromosomal translocations are among the most common chromosomal abnormalities in the human general population, carriage prevalence varying between 1/1300 and 1/600. As a rule, carriers of reciprocal translocations have a normal phenotype and at the same time a high risk of infertility, reproductive losses and offsprings with congenital hereditary diseases [7].

Clinical implementation of CMA facilitates highyield detection of genome-wide imbalances in mentally retarded patients. However, this up-to-date method does not find balanced rearrangements such as balanced translocations, insertions or balanced inversions that can be detected by conventional karyotyping or FISH [8].

Combination of CMA and FISH has allowed to diagnose 10.5 Mb duplication of the short arm of chromosome 17 affecting region 17p13.3p13.1 and establish that the discovered genomic imbalance is a consequence of unbalanced translocation t(9;17) due to malsegregation of maternal balanced translocation. At that, the clinical phenotype of the proband formed not only under the influence of increased number of copies of genes located in region 17p13.3p13.1, but also due to partial deletion of 9p subtelomeric region. Unfortunately, therefore it is impossible to assess the phenotypic effect of such imbalance. On the one hand 9p subtelomeric DNA-probe with a size of 195 kb between RH80320 (proximal) and RH65569 (distal) markers was used. A weak signal of a 9pter on maternal derivative chromosome 17 indicates that the break point on chromosome 9 is located at a distance of at least 350 kb from the telomere. The translocated segment contains 4 OMIM genes, including the WASHC1, FOXD4, CBWD1, along with one OMIM Morbid Map gene, DOCK8 genes. The WASH complex playing a key role in non-neuronal endosomal trafficking by activating Arp2/3, regulates the fission of tubules that serve as transport intermediates during endosome sorting. Diseases associated with WASHC1 include Wiskott-Aldrich Syndrome and Ritscher-Schinzel Syndrome [9]. FOXD4 is responsible for speech and language development and dysregulation of this gene may leads to speech delay seen in our patient. This gene encodes a member of the forkhead/winged helix-box (FOX) family of transcription factors. Haploinsufficiency of FOXD4 may cause dosage imbalance in its target genes, which leads to abnormal development [10]. CBWD1 is a protein coding gene, but there is no diseases associated with mutations of *CBWD1* gene [11]. Heterozygous deletions and mutations of DOCK8 are associated with autosomal recessive hyper-IgE recurrent infection syndrome (OMIM 243700). DOCK8 also plays an important role in brain development and cognitive function, which are associated with mental or behavioral disorders [12]. Heterozygous disorder of DOCK8 due to chromosomal deletion or a translocation breakpoint is related to autosomal dominant mental retardation 2 (OMIM 614113) [13].

On the other hand, CMA of the proband showed that the last distal SNP (S-3UNQB) located at chr9:192129 is present. Therefore, the deletion with a size of 350 kb should be detected. Nevertheless, CMA did not show a 9p deletion, but allele difference plot and B-allele frequency (BAF) plot showed two allele tracts instead of three, indicating a presence of a single allele (Fig. 4). A possible explanation for such CMA finding could be duplication of the corresponding region on the paternal homologue of chromosome 9. Indeed, according to DGV, duplications involving these genes are present at unaffected individuals. Previous studies on DOCK8 duplications are limited and they indicate that rare CNVs might be benign for most patients who inherit from unaffected parents [14, 15]. Unfortunately, the proband's father was unavailable for CMA to prove our assumption.

To date, just a few patients with duplication of region pter \rightarrow p13.1 of chromosome 17 have been described. In all cases, the diagnosed genomic imbalance was a consequence of malsegregation of the parent's reciprocal translocation [16–19]. The patients had a complex phenotype due to a combination of partial trisomy of the short arm of chromosome 17 and partial monosomy of another chromosome involved in the rearrangement. Just one case similar to ours was described when 17p13.1p13.3 duplications due to maternal translocation t(17;19) was detected in a fetus with intrauterine growth retardation [20].

In our patient, terminal 10.5 Mb duplication of the short arm of chromosome 17 affected 315 genes, 55 of which are OMIM-morbid ones, and included 8 genes of the critical region of Miller-Dieker syndrome: *PRP8 (607300), RILP (607848), SREC (607873), PIT-PNA (600174), SKIP (603055), MYO1C (606538), CRK (164762) and YWHAE (605066).*

Duplication of this region (involving both YWHAE and LIS1 genes) is associated with a variable clinical phenotype that typically includes structural brain abnormalities (involving the corpus callosum, cerebellar vermis, and cranial base), hypotonia, intellectual disability, a relatively distinct facial phenotype, and other variable findings [21].

Curry et al. [21] reviewed 34 individuals from 21 families with duplication 17p13.3 associated with heterogeneous breakpoints, and found several overlapping phenotypes, which were divided into group 1 for telomeric duplications and group 2 for duplications, including larger regions of duplication. Signs associated with telomeric duplications of 17p13.3 (Group 1) included early developmental delay, mild to moderate intellectual disability, increased autism, hypotension and moderately myopathic facial features in infants and young children, mild but characteristic facial dysmorphic features at an older age and occasional mild malformations of the brain



involving the corpus callosum, cerebellum, and posterior fossa. Signs associated with a larger 17p13.3 duplication (group 2) also included more striking facial dysmorphic features at an older age, consisting of a long face, small mouth, protruding jaw and pointed chin, and cerebellar and posterior fossa malformations, that are more persistent and severe than those found with smaller duplications. However, none were pathognomonic or likely to allow recognition of this genomic disorder on clinical grounds alone.

It was surmised that duplication *YWHAE* might affect the development and maturing of the neuronal network and is associated with a mild developmental delay or mental retardation and facial dysmorphism while duplication *PAFAH1B1* leading to its overexpression is associated with a moderate or severe developmental delay and structural abnormalities of the brain [1].

At present, only 13 patients with 17p13.3 duplications containing both gene *PAFAH1B1* and gene *YWHAE* are registered [1]. All of them, like our patient, have developmental psychomotor and speech delay verbal retardation

and characteristic specific facial features such as hypertelorism, short nose, small mouth (Table 1).

In spite of extended duplication (10.5 Mb) and a large number of genes involved therein, the proband's phenotype largely matched the clinical features typical for 17p13 duplication syndrome (OMIM # 613215, chromosome 17p13.3, centromeric, duplication syndrome). The common features included psychomotor and speech delay, prominent hypertelorism, posteriorly rotated ears, a short nose, small mouth, hypotonia.

Gene *BHLHA9* located in the critical region of 17p13.3 microduplication syndrome, but outside the critical region of Miller–Dieker syndrome was associated with split-hand/foot malformation developmental defects of extremeties [25, 26]. OMIM database annotates the syndrome of duplication of telomeric region 17p13.3. The syndrome is characterized by significant penetrance and high expressivity. In our case, hand/foot malformations with long bone deficiency were not noted. According to literature, 17p13.3 duplications with hand/foot malformation affliction of extremities were relatively small

	-	
	3	
	č	
	2	
	Ĕ	
	ā	
	>	
-	Ś	
	∋	
	0	
	5	
	Ð	
	õ	
	5	
	5	
	٣	
	Ť	
	0	
	σ	
	Ξ	
	σ	
	ě	
	ä	
	\cup	
	F	
	ಗ	
	2	
	2	
	F	
	2	
	S	
	1	
	コ	
	σ	
	Ψ	
-	_	
	8	
	≥	
	5	
	υ	
	0	
	Ē	
	g	
2	≚	
	0	
	\supseteq	
	O	
	ð	
	H	
	2	
	Ĕ	
	늘	
	2	
	Š	
	0	
	\sim	
1	<u> </u>	
	╘	
	2	
	5	
	S	
•	É	
	g	
	H	
	Ĕ	
	Q	
	\sim	
	_	
,	_	

Palent resc	Paper	[22]	[3]	<mark>.</mark>	[3]	[4]	[21]	[21]	[21]	[<mark>23</mark>]	2	[24]	[<mark>24</mark>]	[24]	Present Study
Stare drugh Sig 18 3 4 2 34 2.78 2.16 5.7 39 4.2 <td>Patient refer- ence</td> <td>Patient 7</td> <td>Patient 1</td> <td>Patient 2</td> <td>Patient 3</td> <td>Patient 10</td> <td>Patient 12</td> <td>Patient 13</td> <td>Patient 15</td> <td>Patient 1</td> <td>Patient 1</td> <td>Patient 1</td> <td>Patient 2</td> <td>Patient 3</td> <td>Patient 1</td>	Patient refer- ence	Patient 7	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3	Patient 10	Patient 12	Patient 13	Patient 15	Patient 1	Patient 1	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3	Patient 1
Age relay: 10 14 1 1 65 28 1.1 14 1 1 40 39 31 2 2 21 14 1 1 1 40 39 31 2 2 31 14 1 1 4 40 39 31 31 2 31 14 4 40 39 31 2 31 14 14 4 40 39 31 2 31 14 4 4 40 39 31 40 33 40 33 40 33 40 30	Size of dupli- cation, Mb	3.6	1.8	m	4	2	3.4	2.78	2.16	5.7	3.9	4.2	4.2	4.2	10.5
Gender F M F M F M F M F </td <td>Age at diag- nosis, years</td> <td>10</td> <td>14</td> <td>-</td> <td>,</td> <td>6.5</td> <td>28</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>14</td> <td>1.</td> <td>4</td> <td>40</td> <td>39</td> <td>31</td> <td>2.3</td>	Age at diag- nosis, years	10	14	-	,	6.5	28	1.1	14	1.	4	40	39	31	2.3
Birth height, 33 33 NA 50 Normal NA NA NA 55 51 NA NA NA 56 330 200 2700 3 1^{0} and 1^{0} 330 1^{0} 1^{0	Gender	Ц	Z	ш	Z	Z	ш	W	ш	ш	X	Z	ш	ш	ш
Birth weight, 360 330 420 330 420 330 420 330 200 330 220 230 230 230 230 230 2	Birth height, cm	53	53	NA	50	Normal	NA	AN	NA	55	51	NA	NA	NA	52
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Birth weight, g	3060	3350	4200	3380	Normal	NA	AN	NA	2680	3200	3200	2800	2700	3350
High forchead -: + + + + + + NA = NA + NA = NA + NA = NA + NA = NA + - NA = NA	Cranio-facial dysmor- phism hypotonic face	I	+	+	+	ΨZ	+	+	₹ Z	+	I	₹ Z	NA	AA	+
Hypertelorism \cdot $+$	High forehead	I	+	+	+	NA	NA	+	NA	+	Ι	NA	NA	NA	+
Bridge \cdot + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	Hypertelorism	Ι	+	+	+	I	I	Ι	I	+	Ι	+	+	+	+
	Broad nasal bridge	I	+	+	+	I	AN	+	ΑN	+	I	+	+	+	+
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Small mouth	Normal	Normal	+	+	Prominent cupid bow	+	+	+	+	I	+	+	+	+
Triangular NA \cdot + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	Low-set-ears	I	+	+	I	NA	NA	+	NA	+	Ι	+	+	+	+
Neck appear- Normal Short Short Normal Nor Short Normal Nor Short Normal Short Short Normal Na Short Short Normal Nypotonia hypotonia hypoto	Triangular chin	AN	I	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	+	+	I
Neurological - + <t< td=""><td>Neck appear- ance</td><td>Normal</td><td>Normal</td><td>Short</td><td>Short</td><td>Normal</td><td>AN</td><td>NA</td><td>AN</td><td>AN</td><td>I</td><td>ΑN</td><td>NA</td><td>NA</td><td>Short</td></t<>	Neck appear- ance	Normal	Normal	Short	Short	Normal	AN	NA	AN	AN	I	ΑN	NA	NA	Short
Delayed men + <td< td=""><td>Neurological features hypotonia</td><td>I</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>AN</td><td>I</td><td>+</td><td>NA</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>I</td></td<>	Neurological features hypotonia	I	+	+	+	+	AN	I	+	NA	+	+	+	+	I
Delayed + </td <td>Delayed men- tal develop- ment</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>I</td> <td>D</td> <td>Mild LD</td> <td>Mild LD</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td>	Delayed men- tal develop- ment	+	+	+	+	I	D	Mild LD	Mild LD	+	+	+	+	+	+
Abnormal + + + + Autism + Autism	Delayed motor develop- ment	+	+	+	+	I	+	NA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	Abnormal behavior	+	+	+	+	Autism	+	I	I	I	Autism	I	I	I	1

Paper	[22]	<mark>.</mark>	<u></u>	[3]	[4]	[21]	[21]	[<mark>2</mark> 1]	[23]	[7]	[24]	[24]	[24]	Present Study
Brain imaging results	Reduced brain size, corpus callosum hypoplasia, cerebellar agenesis	Normal	₹ Z	Dilated lateral ventricles/ corpus callosum agenesis	AN	ΥN	Thin corpus callosum, cerebel- lar vermis hypoplasia	AA	Normal	Polymicro- gyria	Ч И И	¥Z	AA	ЧV
	2													

in size (263 kb approximately), involved gene *BHLHA9*, with breakpoints in the regions of genes *ABR-TUSC5*. On the contrary, in the patients whose extremities were not afflicted but who suffered from mental retardation, 17p13.3 duplications were large in size (1.1 Mb on average) and did not break the region of genes *ABR-TUSC5*. This suggests that disturbance of neighboring, supposedly regulatory, elements (for example, in region *ABR-TUSC5*) of gene *BHLHA9* might be a complementary factor promoting occurrence of hand/foot malformations with long bone deficiency [27].

Conclusions

In this article, we described a new case of duplication 17p13.3p13.1 due to maternal balanced translocation t(9;17). Major contribution to the abnormal phenotype is duplication of 17p13. Clinical features in our patient including psychomotor and speech delay, marked hypertelorism, back-turned ears, short nose, small mouth, and hypotonia are typical for the 17p13 duplication syndrome. Large duplication of 17p13 is usually indicates a more severe phenotype than those found with smaller duplications, but more striking dysmorphic findings appear at older ages. The reported patient is a girl 2 years 3 months old and only further monitoring of the proband's clinical features and additional instrumental studies imaging (MRI) will confirm this statement. Moreover, in fact our patient also had a deletion of subtelomeric region 9p which was not detected by CMA but could contribute to developmental delay or mental retardation. This additional genomic imbalances may not be directly responsible for the diagnosis, but it's effect in the phenotype should be considered.

CMA allowed to identify the unbalanced fragment responsible for occurrence of clinical features in the patient. But when a copy number gain is detected by CMA, it is impossible to determine where in the genome the additional material resides using the array data alone. FISH analysis is the preferred method to identify both the location and the copy number of the genomic segments. The importance of follow-up parental studies is also illustrated in this study. The proband's mother was diagnosed as a carrier of balanced chromosomal translocation t(9;17), associated with the risk of formation of gametes with 17p duplication or deletion. If CMA was perform alone one would assume that the terminal duplication was a sporadic event with a low recurrence rate. Since the results of the parental studies demonstrated that the duplication was inherited from a parental balanced rearrangement, the recurrence risk and genetic counseling for this family were dramatically altered.

Thereby CMA followed by targeted FISH allows a most comprehensive characterization of an identified

chromosomal/genomic imbalance and also provision of an adequate medical and genetic counseling for a family taking into account a balanced chromosomal rearrangement.

Abbreviations

CMA: Chromosomal microarray analysis; CNVs: Copy number variants; OMIM: Online Mendelian inheritance in man; ISCN 2016: International system for human cytogenetic nomenclature; DGV: Database of genomic variants; NCBI: National center for biotechnology information; SD: Standard deviations.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank the patient and their family for their participation in this study.

Authors' contributions

MZh contributed to the design and development of the protocol, analyzed the data and literature, wrote the manuscript. MZh, MM performed the CMA and the FISH technique, did the data analysis and interpretation. BL provided examination of patient including genetic counselling, contributed to the clinical description. ShN contributed to the research design, planning, discussion of results and revised the manuscript for the English language. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

There is no funding to declare.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the ethical committee of the Research Centre for Medical Genetics (protocol No. 6/2 dated September 14, 2020). Voluntary informed consent was obtained for participation of the patients in this scientific study.

Consent for publication

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient's parents to be involved in this case report and for the publication of photographs.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Received: 5 April 2021 Accepted: 29 July 2021 Published online: 31 August 2021

References

- Blazejewski SM, Bennison SA, Smith TH, Toyo-Oka K. Neurodevelopmental genetic diseases associated with microdeletions and microduplications of chromosome 17p13.3. Front Genet. 2018;9:1–18. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fgene.2018.00080.
- Shimojima K, Sugiura C, Takahashi H, Ikegami M, Takahashi Y, Ohno K, Matsuo M, Saito K, Yamamoto T. Genomic copy number variations at 17p13.3 and epileptogenesis. Epilepsy Res. 2010;89(2–3):303–9. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.eplepsyres.2010.02.002.
- Roos L, Jønch AE, Kjaergaard S, Taudorf K, Simonsen H, Hamborg-Petersen B, Brøndum-Nielsen K, Kirchhoff M. A new microduplication syndrome encompassing the region of the Miller–Dieker (17p13 deletion) syndrome. J Med Genet. 2009;46(10):703–10. https://doi.org/10.1136/ jmg.2008.065094.
- Bruno DL, Anderlid BM, Lindstrand A, van Ravenswaaij-Arts C, Ganesamoorthy D, Lundin J, Martin CL, Douglas J, Nowak C, Adam MP, Kooy RF,

Van der Aa N, Reyniers E, Vandeweyer G, Stolte-Dijkstra I, Dijkhuizen T, Yeung A, Delatycki M, Borgström B, Thelin L, Cardoso C, van Bon B, Pfundt R, de Vries BB, Wallin A, Amor DJ, James PA, Slater HR, Schoumans J. Further molecular and clinical delineation of co-locating 17p13.3 microdeletions and microduplications that show distinctive phenotypes. J Med Genet. 2010;47(5):299–311. https://doi.org/10.1136/jmg.2009.069906.

- Avela K, Aktan-Collan K, Horelli-Kuitunen N, Knuutila S, Somer M. A microduplication on chromosome 17p13.1p13.3 including the PAFAH1B1 (LIS1) gene. Am J Med Genet Part A. 2011;155A(4):875–9. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/ajmg.a.33944.
- Capra V, Mirabelli-Badenier M, Stagnaro M, Rossi A, Tassano E, Gimelli S, Gimelli G. Identification of a rare 17p13.3 duplication including the BHLHA9 and YWHAE genes in a family with developmental delay and behavioural problems. BMC Med Genet. 2012;13:93–9. https://doi.org/10. 1186/1471-2350-13-93.
- Stutterd CA, Francis D, McGillivray G, Lockhart PJ, Leventer RJ. Polymicrogyria associated with 17p13.3p13.2 duplication: case report and review of the literature. Eur J Med Genet. 2020;63(4):103774. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ejmg.2019.103774.
- Wilch ES, Morton CC. Historical and clinical perspectives on chromosomal translocations. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2018;1044:1–14. https://doi.org/10. 1007/978-981-13-0593-1_1.
- Linardopoulou EV, Parghi SS, Friedman C, Osborn GE, Parkhurst SM, Trask BJ. Human subtelomeric WASH genes encode a new subclass of the WASP family. PLoS Genet. 2007;3(12):e237. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pgen.0030237.
- Hauge X, Raca G, Cooper S, May K, Spiro R, Adam M, Martin CL. Detailed characterization of, and clinical correlations in, 10 patients with distal deletions of chromosome 9p. Genet Med. 2008;10(8):599–611. https:// doi.org/10.1097/gim.0b013e31817e2bde.
- Fan Y, Newman T, Linardopoulou E, Trask BJ. Gene content and function of the ancestral chromosome fusion site in human chromosome 2q13-2q14.1 and paralogous regions. Genome Res. 2002;12(11):1663–72. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.338402.
- Krgovic D, Kokalj Vokac N, Zagorac A, et al. Rare structural variants in the DOCK8 gene identified in a cohort of 439 patients with neurodevelopmental disorders. Sci Rep. 2018;8:9449. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-018-27824-0.
- Griggs BL, Ladd S, Saul RA, DuPont BR, Srivastava AK. Dedicator of cytokinesis 8 is disrupted in two patients with mental retardation and developmental disabilities. Genomics. 2008;91(2):195–202. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2007.10.011.
- Ruiter EM, Koolen DA, Kleefstra T, Nillesen WM, Pfundt R, de Leeuw N, Hamel BC, Brunner HG, Sistermans EA, de Vries BB. Pure subtelomeric microduplications as a cause of mental retardation. Clin Genet. 2007;72(4):362–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-0004.2007.00874.x.
- Ruusala A, Aspenström P. Isolation and characterisation of DOCK8, a member of the DOCK180-related regulators of cell morphology. FEBS Lett. 2004;572(1–3):159–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2004.06.095.
- Hyon C, Marlin S, Chantot-Bastaraud S, Mabboux P, Beaujard MP, Al Ageeli E, Vazquez MP, Picard A, Siffroi JP, Portnoï MF. A new 17p13.3 microduplication including the PAFAH1B1 and YWHAE genes resulting from an unbalanced X;17 translocation. Eur J Med Genet. 2011;54(3):287–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmg.2010.12.006.
- Ruiz Esparza-Garrido R, Velázquez-Wong AC, Araujo-Solís MA, Huicochea-Montiel JC, Velázquez-Flores MÁ, Salamanca-Gómez F, Arenas-Aranda DJ.

Duplication of the Miller–Dieker critical region in a patient with a subtelomeric unbalanced translocation t(10;17)(p15.3;p13.3). Mol Syndromol. 2012;3(2):82–8. https://doi.org/10.1159/000339639.

- Primerano A, Colao E, Villella C, Nocera MD, Ciambrone A, Luciano E, D'Antona L, Vismara MFM, Loddo S, Novelli A, Perrotti N, Malatesta P. A cryptic balanced translocation (5;17), a puzzle revealed through a critical evaluation of the pedigree and a FISH focused on candidate loci suggested by the phenotype. Mol Cytogenet. 2015;8:70. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s13039-015-0172-1.
- 19. Hadj Amor M, Dimassi S, Taj A, et al. Neuronal migration genes and a familial translocation t (3;17): candidate genes implicated in the phenotype. BMC Med Genet. 2020;21(1):26. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12881-020-0966-9.
- 20. Kiiski K, Roovere T, Zordania R, von Koskull H, Horelli-Kuitunen N. Prenatal diagnosis of 17p13.1p13.3 duplication. Case Rep Med. 2012;2012:840538. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/840538.
- Curry CJ, Rosenfeld JA, Grant E, et al. The duplication 17p13.3 phenotype: analysis of 21 families delineates developmental, behavioral and brain abnormalities, and rare variant phenotypes. Am J Med Genet A. 2013;161A(8):1833–52. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.35996.
- 22. Bi W, Sapir T, Shchelochkov OA, et al. Increased LIS1 expression affects human and mouse brain development. Nat Genet. 2009;41(2):168–77. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.302.
- Kucharczyk M, Jezela-Stanek A, Gieruszczak-Bialek D, Kugaudo M, Cieslikowska A, Pelc M, Krajewska-Walasek M. Oculocutaneous albinism in a patient with 17p13.2-pter duplication—a review on the molecular syndromology of 17p13 duplication. Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub. 2015;159(2):333–7. https://doi.org/10.5507/bp. 2015.003.
- Pasińska M, Łazarczyk E, Jułga K, Bartnik-Głaska M, Nowakowska B, Haus O. Multiple occurrence of psychomotor retardation and recurrent miscarriages in a family with a submicroscopic reciprocal translocation t(7;17) (p22;p13.2). BMC Med Genom. 2018;11(1):69. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12920-018-0384-4.
- Armour CM, Bulman DE, Jarinova O, Rogers RC, Clarkson KB, DuPont BR, Dwivedi A, Bartel FO, McDonell L, Schwartz CE, Boycott KM, Everman DB, Graham GE. 17p13.3 microduplications are associated with split-hand/ foot malformation and long-bone deficiency (SHFLD). Eur J Hum Genet. 2011;19:1144–51. https://doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2011.97.
- Carter TC, Sicko RJ, Kay DM, Browne ML, Romitti PA, Edmunds ZL, Liu A, Fan R, Druschel CM, Caggana M, Brody LC, Mills JL. Copy-number variants and candidate gene mutations in isolated split hand/foot malformation. J Hum Genet. 2017;62(10):877–84. https://doi.org/10.1038/jhg.2017.56.
- Paththinige CS, Sirisena ND, Escande F, Manouvrier S, Petit F, Dissanayake VHW. Split hand/foot malformation with long bone deficiency associated with BHLHA9 gene duplication: a case report and review of literature. BMC Med Genet. 2019;20(1):108. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12881-019-0839-2.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

